WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW	_		
LAURENCE BELL, v.	Plaintiff,	Civil Action No	
ATLANTIC RECOVERY SOLUT	ΓIONS, LLC,		
	Defendant.		

INTEREST OF VECTOR DISCUSSION COLUMN

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought in response to Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*. (hereinafter "FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices as well as the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 (hereinafter referred to as the "TCPA").

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) in that the Defendant transacts business here and the conduct complained of occurred here.

III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Laurence Bell, is a natural person residing in the County of Erie and State of New York and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant Atlantic Recovery Solutions, LLC., (hereinafter "ARS") is a domestic limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).
- 6. Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 7. The acts of the Defendant alleged hereinafter were performed by its employees acting within the scope of their actual or apparent authority.
- 8. All references to "Defendant" herein shall mean the Defendant or an employee of the Defendant.

- 9. That at all times relevant herein, Defendant owned, operated and/or controlled "customer premises equipment" as defined by the TCPA, 47 U.S.C.§153(16), that originated, routed, and/or terminated telecommunications.
- 10. That at all times relevant herein, Plaintiff was and is a "person" as defined by the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. §153(39).
- 11. That at all times relevant herein, Defendant has used the United States mail service, telephone, telegram and other instrumentalities of interstate and intrastate commerce to attempt to contact consumers.
- 12. That Defendant, at all times relevant herein, engaged in "interstate communications" as that term is defined by the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. §153(28).
- 13. That Defendant, at all times relevant herein, engaged in "telecommunications" as defined by the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. §153(50).
- 14. That Defendant, at all times relevant herein, used, controlled and/or operated "wire communications" as defined by the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. §153(59), that existed as instrumentalities of interstate and intrastate commerce.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. That Plaintiff allegedly incurred a debt. This debt will be referred to as "the subject debt."
- 16. That the subject debt arose out of a transaction in which money, services or property, which was the subject of the transaction, was primarily for personal, family and/or household purposes. As such, said debt is a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- 17. That Plaintiff thereafter allegedly defaulted on the subject debt.
- 18. That in or about November 2021, Defendant ARS called the Plaintiff on his cellular telephone in attempt to collect the subject debt.
- 19. That the Plaintiff never provided prior express consent for Defendant to call him on his cellular telephone.
- 20. That Defendant ARS left multiple voicemails for Plaintiff that utilized an artificial voice and or were prerecorded voice messages stating:
 - This is a courtesy call for Laurence E. Bell Senior and that before we report to Erie County that you have been non cooperative with the investigation it is imperative that you or your attorney contact our office immediately. The office telephone number is 833-240-4002 extension 110. Refer to your claim number 153643.

This ARS. This message is solely intended for Laurence E. Bell Sr., we need to speak to you or your legal representation immediately. Please call the office back 1-855-221-4405 to speak to the next available representative.

This message is intended for Laurence E. Bell Sr., I am calling with the office of ARS regarding a legally required notice that needs your immediate attention. Please call 716-351-3820 or press 1 to speak to a live representative.

- 21. The above-described collection conduct by Defendant in their efforts to collect this alleged debt from Plaintiff were oppressive, deceptive, misleading, unfair and illegal communications in an attempt to collect this alleged debt, all done in violation of numerous and multiple provisions of the FDCPA.
- 22. These collection actions taken by Defendant, and their collection employees employed by Defendant, were made in violation of multiple provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to all of the provisions of those laws cited herein.
- 23. These violations by Defendant were knowing, willful, negligent and/or intentional, and Defendants did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violations.
- 24. Defendant's collection efforts with respect to this alleged debt from Plaintiff caused Plaintiff to suffer concrete and particularized harm because the FDCPA provides Plaintiff with the legally protected right to be treated fairly and truthfully with respect to any action for the collection of any consumer debt.
- 25. Defendant's deceptive, misleading and unfair representations with respect to its collection effort were material misrepresentations that affected and frustrated Plaintiff's ability to intelligently respond to Defendants' collection efforts because Plaintiff could not adequately respond to the Defendants' demand for payment of this debt.
- 26. That as a result of Defendant's acts Plaintiff became nervous, upset, anxious, and suffered from emotional distress.

V. CAUSE OF ACTION

- 27. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 26 above.
- 28. The conduct of Defendant as described in this complaint violated the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.) as follows:
 - A. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e(11) for failing to provide a mini Miranda warning in its voice message and text messages left on Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

- B. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g for failing to disclose the name of the current creditor and/or the amount of the alleged debt and/or Plaintiff's validation rights in the text messages sent to the Plaintiff.
- C. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692d(6) for failing to disclose the Defendant's identity in its voice messages sent to the Plaintiff.
- D. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e, 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2), 15 U.S.C. §1692e(5), 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10) for misrepresenting that the matter is a legal one by stating it was a legally required notice, that the matter was reported to Erie County for non-cooperation with Defendant's investigation and that Plaintiff's attorney needed to contact them.
- 29. That as a result of the Defendant's FDCPA violations as alleged herein, Plaintiff became nervous, upset, anxious and suffered from emotional distress.

VI. COUNT TWO

(Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 and 47 C.F.R.64.1200, et seq.)

- 32. Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference the preceding and succeeding paragraphs in this complaint as if each of them was reprinted herein below.
- 33. The Defendant at all times material and relevant hereto, unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and/or fraudulently violated the TCPA, 47 U.S.C.§227, et seq. and 47 C.F.R.14.1200, et seq. and TCPA, 47 U.S.C.§227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by initiating telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an automated telephone dialing system and/or using an artificial and/or prerecorded voice to deliver messages without having the consent of Plaintiff to leave such messages.
- 34. The acts and/or omissions of the Defendant at all times material and relevant hereto, as described in this Complaint, were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
- 35. The acts and/or omissions of the Defendant at all times material and relevant hereto, as described in this Complaint, were not acted or omitted pursuant to 47 C.F.R.§64.1200(f)(2).
- 36. As a causally-direct and legally proximate result of the above violations of the TCPA, the Defendant at all times material and relevant hereto, as described in this Complaint, caused the Plaintiff to sustain damages as a result of their innumerable telephone calls that harassed, annoyed and abused Plaintiff, and disturbed her peace and tranquility at home and elsewhere.

- 37. As a causally-direct and legally proximate result of the above violations of the TCPA, the Defendant at all times material and relevant hereto, as described in this Complaint, caused the Plaintiff to sustain damages and experience emotional distress.
- 38. As a causally-direct and legally proximate result of the above violations of the TCPA, the Defendant at all times material and relevant hereto, as described in this Complaint, is liable to actual damages, statutory damages, treble damages, and costs and attorneys' fees.
- 39. Plaintiff received multiple telephone calls from an automatic telephone dialing system and/or an artificial and/or prerecorded voice entitling Plaintiff to Five Hundred Dollars and No Cents (\$500.00) for each artificial and/or prerecorded telephone call pursuant to the TCPA, 47 U.S.C.§227(b)(3)(B).
- 40. The Defendant caused said telephone calls of an artificial and/or prerecorded nature to be placed willfully and/or knowingly entitling each Plaintiff to a maximum of treble damages, pursuant to TCPA, 47 U.S.C.§227(b)(3)

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against the Defendant for:

- (a) Actual damages;
- (b) Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k and 47 U.S.C.§223(b)(3)(B).
- (c) Treble statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227b(3).
- (d) Costs, disbursements and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.
- (e) For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

VI. JURY DEMAND

Please take notice that Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

Dated: January 235, 2022

/s/ Seth J. Andrews __

Seth J. Andrews, Esq. Law Offices of Kenneth Hiller, PLLC Attorneys for the Plaintiff 6000 North Bailey Ave., Suite 1A Amherst, NY 14226 (716) 564-3288 sandrews@kennethhiller.com